

TONBRIDGE & MALLING BOROUGH COUNCIL

COUNCIL

1 November 2011

Report of Central Services Director

Part 1- Public

Matters For Decision

1 APPOINTMENTS TO OUTSIDE BODIES

The report seeks confirmation of an appointment to the Holmesdale Trust and nomination of a replacement to the Petley and Deakins Almshouse Charity.

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 On the recommendation of the former Community Development Advisory Board on 9 June 2010, a Cabinet Member decision was made "that the Borough Council agree to be a formal partner in the Malling Holmesdale Federation Trust". Councillor Miss A Moloney has subsequently represented the Council on the Trust and it is now necessary to formalise the appointment and add the Trust to the list of nominations to outside bodies dealt with at Annual Council.

1.1.2 Notification has been received that Mr M Dobson, one of the Trustees of the Petley and Deakins Almshouse Charity appointed by the Borough Council, has resigned. The Council has been invited to nominate a replacement Trustee, the period of office being four years. The object of the Charity is "to provide accommodation for poor persons of good character ordinarily residing in the Ancient Parish of Tonbridge". It has been suggested that Councillor Ms S Spence be nominated as a Trustee.

1.2 Legal Implications

1.2.1 None.

1.3 Financial and Value for Money Considerations

1.3.1 Not applicable.

1.4 Risk Assessment

1.4.1 Not applicable.

1.5 Equality Impact Assessment

1.5.1 See 'Screening for equality impacts' table at end of report

1.6 Recommendations

1.6.1 RECOMMENDED That:

- 1) Councillor Miss A Moloney be formally appointed as the Council's representative on the Malling Holmesdale Federation Trust; and
- 2) consideration be given to the nomination of Councillor Ms S Spence as a Trustee of Petley and Deakins Almshouse Charity.

Background papers:

contact: Claire Fox

Nil

Julie Beilby
Central Services Director

Screening for equality impacts:		
Question	Answer	Explanation of impacts
a. Does the decision being made or recommended through this paper have potential to cause adverse impact or discriminate against different groups in the community?	No	The decision will not adversely impact any groups.
b. Does the decision being made or recommended through this paper make a positive contribution to promoting equality?	N/A	
c. What steps are you taking to mitigate, reduce, avoid or minimise the impacts identified above?	N/A	

In submitting this report, the Chief Officer doing so is confirming that they have given due regard to the equality impacts of the decision being considered, as noted in the table above.